

Journal of Language Horizons, Alzahra University
Volume 8, Issue 3, Autumn 2024, pp. 153-186
Research Article

The Role of English Language Proficiency in International Opportunity Recognition and Exploitation: The Case of Iranian Pars Special Economic Energy Zone (PSEEZ)

Hossein Ekbatani¹, Nasim Ghanbari², Reza Mohammadkazemi³

Received: 2023/08/03 Accepted: 2024/08/14

Abstract

Numerous studies have shown that the language proficiency of the decision-makers and managers in the oil industry considerably affects the recognition and exploitation of international opportunities. Accordingly, the present interdisciplinary study was conducted to examine the role of English language proficiency in international opportunity recognition and exploitation in the Iranian Pars Special Economic Energy Zone (PSEEZ). For this purpose, a survey questionnaire was developed by the researcher to gather data from PSEEZ companies as the context of the study. Overall, a body of 120 executive managers in PSEEZ participated in the study. SPSS software was used for the analysis of the data. The analysis of the findings showed that English language proficiency had a positive and significant relationship with the recognition and exploitation of international opportunities. This study calls for a more pronounced role of English in the region to further enhance the international opportunities of PSEEZ. The study has also several implications for the English for specific purposes (ESP) domain.

Keywords: English language proficiency, entrepreneurship, ESP, executive managers, international opportunity recognition, international opportunity exploitation.

How to Cite:

Ekbatani, H; Ghanbari, N; Mohammadkazemi, R. (2024). The Role of English Language Proficiency in International Opportunity Recognition and Exploitation: The Case of Iranian Pars Special Economic Energy Zone (PSEEZ), *Journal of Language Horizons*, 8 (3), 153-186.

https://doi.org/10.22051/lghor.2024.43937.1834

homepage: http://lghor.alzahra.ac.ir

Copyright © 2024 The Authors. Published by Alzahra University. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited; and does not alter or modify the article.

^{1.} MSc. Student, Department of English Language and Literature, Persian Gulf University, Bushehr, Iran. h.ekbatani@utac.ir

^{2.} Assistant Professor, Department of English Language and Literature, Persian Gulf University, Bushehr, Iran (Corresponding author). btghanbari@pgu.ac.ir

^{3.} Professor, Faculty of Entrepreneurship, Tehran University, Tehran, Iran. r mkazemi@ut.ac.ir

Introduction

The theoretical grounds underpinning the present study come from three major fields of inquiry: (1) the entrepreneurial opportunity recognition and exploitation, (2) the language employment in multi-national companies (MNCs), and (3) the internationalization process theory. Language has often been inspected with regard to MNCs and at the corporate level. For instance, researchers have shown ample interest in the corporate language choice, language management within the MNCs, and policies regarding language. On the other hand, some studies have examined language at the level of individuals, for instance, from the standpoint of job opportunities (Vaara, et al., 2005), interunit communication (Barner-Rasmussen & Bjorkman, 2005), and as a source of power and an obstacle or facilitator of interorganizational communication (Marschan-Piekkari, et al., 1999).

Language has been investigated in early studies on the successful internationalization of the companies (Vinnikainen, 2022). For instance, Johanson and Vahlne (1977) asserted that the internationalization of companies occurs through increasing commitments to foreign markets and that a determinative factor in the process is how the decision-makers perceive the psychic distance between home and host markets. Language is one of the important components of experienced psychic experienced (Dow & Karunaratna, 2006; O'Grady & Lane, 1996), and insufficient language skills can be a significant barrier to internationalization (Eriksson, et al., 1997). Although researchers still consider the language to be an obstacle to internationalization, quite a few studies have also indicated that the language skills of the decision-makers may improve a company's likelihood of expanding internationally (Fernandez-Ortiz & Lombardo, 2009; Holzmuller & Kasper, 1990). However, few studies have considered the language as an asset in the internationalization process.

Considering the above mentioned issues, the present research was conducted to find out whether the linguistic competence of the decision-maker played a role in the recognition and exploitation of international opportunities in the context of Pars Special Economic Energy Zone (PSEEZ), a subsidiary of

the National Iranian Oil Company (NIOC). Therefore, with advances in technology and increasing complexities of the global/local economies and political relations, these enterprises have every reason to foster effective communication and interaction with industrial countries to be better able to recognize and exploit opportunities in global markets. Described as one of its objectives, PSEEZ sought to provide the appropriate prerequisites to attract local and foreign partnership with the aim of developing oil, gas and petrochemical industries, as well as interrelated and downstream industries. Therefore, the present study was an attempt to address the following research

- 1. How do the PSEEZ executive managers perceive the role of English language proficiency in recognizing and exploiting international opportunities?
- 2. Is there any relationship between different components of English language proficiency and the demographic information of the participants in PSEEZ?

Literature Review

questions:

In order to compete in the global job market, employees must be able to communicate effectively. In this context, proficiency in English language as a communication skill is considered increasingly important in employment (Erling, et al., 2012; Fast, 2021; Kossoudji, 1988; Rivera-Batiz, 1990; Vinnikainen, 2022; Shields & Price, 2002) all over the world. Moreover, the process of globalization has had a huge impact on the labor market because it allows skilled workers to move from one place to another, from one country to another, and from one region of the world to another. The globalization of human capital is widely manifested through international migration from developing to industrialized countries (Khadria, 2001). Furthermore, globalization is closely connected to the English language itself. Both globalization and the English language are believed to serve as pull factors for one another, having a significant impact on employment (Debrah & Smith, 2002). They have also been linked to significant labor market changes (Orbeta,

2002). The combination of globalization and the English language have paved the way for local actors to join global networks (Sassen, 2016). Take for example a non-English-speaking migrant, he or she might work in an English-speaking environment where his local English is used in a global context. In this context, indeed, his level of English is critical to his employment trajectory in a global society.

In today's job environment, language skills are a must-have. Several researchers (Casale & Posel, 2011; Dustmann & Fabbri, 2003; Leslie & Lindley, 2001; Lindley, 2002; Schellekens, 2001), have looked into the relationship between English language proficiency and labor market success, advantages, and failures. Chiswick and Miller (2007) conducted a number of empirical investigations on the relationship between migrants' language skills and their salaries in host countries. They suggest that having a good command of a foreign language has a positive impact on an individual's income.

According to Brannen et al. (2014), scholars address language challenges in business from a variety of perspectives. Three aspects of language are particularly significant among the various conceptualizations of the language they use: national languages spoken in MNCs, officially mandated corporate languages, and English as the language of global business. Many researchers concentrate on the national languages of corporate headquarters and geographically distributed subsidiaries, which are spoken alongside one another in MNCs (Angouri, 2014), blending in employees' speech (Janssens & Steyaert, 2014), and so producing linguascapes (Steyaert et al., 2011). Others have focused on the concept of a common corporate language, which is most commonly defined as an administrative managerial tool (Latukha, 2016) serving as a facilitator or a barrier to internal and external communication (Piekkari et al., 2005). Beyond top management system's common but simplistic understanding that a specific national language (mostly English) must always be used (Berthoud et al., 2015), scholars have begun to recognize the complexities of common corporate languages, which often reflect the industrial context and the national language environment in the country of origin (Brannen et al., 2014; Brannen & Doz, 2012). The third component of language that is commonly explored in business is the role of English. International business scholars conceptualize English as a hegemonic force (Tietze & Dick, 2013), which recreates postcolonial power structures or as a more neutral communicative tool in the form of business English as a lingua franca (BELF) depending on their disciplinary socialization (Kankaanranta & Planken, 2010). Other researchers have looked into the interaction of national and corporate languages with English (Kuznetsov & Kuznetsova, 2014). Language-related economics research emerged significantly independently of those streams of literature. This economic stream explores the impact of semantic structures in national languages on economic behavior at the country level, such as future-time reference (Chen, 2013) or gender marking (Hicks et al., 2015). Linguistic distance, or how difficult it is for speakers of one language to learn other languages (Hutchinson, 2005), is commonly used in crossnational economic research as a predictor of trade patterns and other results (Sauter, 2012; Melitz & Toubal, 2014).

Lindley (2002) investigates the disparities in earnings between fluent and non-fluent ethnic male and female minorities as well as the factors that can influence English language fluency in the United Kingdom and found that lack of fluency has a major impact on the average earnings of both ethnic groups of minorities in Britain. It is concluded that English language insufficiency in vocations and occupations may come at a price.

In a study of Hispanic and East Asian immigrant men's labor market opportunities, Kossoudji (1988) claims that a lack of English is costly in terms of earning and occupational mobility. At every skill level, she discovered that Hispanics pay a larger price for shortcoming in English language than Asians. Schellekens (2001) also found that lack of English competence is a barrier to employment in England and Wales. For example, people are employed in the jobs that are considerably below their skills and experiences. Similarly, in a developing country like South Africa, it has been discovered that those who are very good at reading and writing and have a tertiary degree are likely to make a lot of money (Casale & Posel, 2011). Tainer (1988) claims that English language competency acts as a determinant of earnings and plays an important role in

the earnings of foreign-born ethnic groups when considering language as a human capital. Furthermore, a lack of language skills limits one's ability to obtain positions that fully acknowledge one's qualifications. Shields and Price (2002) claim in a study on ethnic minorities in the United Kingdom that English language fluency has a significant impact on occupational advantages and success. To summarize the findings of the previous studies, it can be concluded that English proficiency has a significant impact on the labor market, particularly in countries where English is the primary language of the host country. English language fluency has also been linked to a higher wage in a variety of circumstances, according to studies. English language knowledge is one of the most crucial determining elements in landing a job, earning a higher income and gaining other benefits in the labor market. As a result, fluency in the English language has been seen as a form of human capital (Dustmann, 1999; Dustmann & Fabbri, 2003).

The success of the labor market is determined by a number of factors. It is claimed that employers prioritize graduates' profession-specific qualifications in the job market, followed by their well-roundedness, which refers to personal characteristics and attributes, including English language competence (Arkoudis et al., 2009). According to Syed and Murray (2009), English language proficiency is required for the majority of jobs in Australia. Other studies have found that knowing English is essential for getting hired and succeeding in the job market. The better the English, the higher the engagement rates (DIMIA, 2005). Similarly, the LSIA found that migrants with higher levels of qualifications and English language ability have better job, income, and occupational position in comparison to migrants with lower levels of such human capital characteristics (Ho & Alcorso, 2004; Syed & Murray, 2009). Ho and Alcorso (2004) used LSIA data to show the employment outcomes of English and non-English speaking migrants in relation to their birthplace. They discovered that comparing migrants from English-speaking backgrounds to migrants from non-English-speaking backgrounds, the first cohort outperforms the second cohort in terms of employment outcomes (i.e., employment and unemployment rates, occupational status, and qualification utilization). According to research, the lack of English language skills acts as a barrier to labor market attainment and success (Stevens, 2005) and can result in job loss (Syed & Murray, 2009).

To investigate the association between knowledge of one or more foreign languages and adult Europeans' employment status, Araújo et al. (2015) used logistic regressions with data from Eurostat's Adult Education Survey (AES) 2011. This study found a link between employment and English knowledge in Germany, Greece, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Portugal, and Slovenia. Moreover, English language proficiency is linked to a higher likelihood of employment in Cyprus, Spain, and Finland. Those who know at least some French are more likely to find a job position in Malta, German speakers are more likely to find a job in Denmark, and Russian speakers are more likely to find a job in Bulgaria, Latvia, Lithuania, and Poland. However, there are three shortcomings in this paper. First, it presents the coefficients of the models used, but it does not calculate the marginal effects. While this allows the authors to analyze the strength of existing correlations, no conclusions regarding their magnitude can be formed. Second, disparities in the language-employment link between men and women are not investigated. Finally, the study of the association between employment and language skills is flawed since it only looks at English and only at a very high degree of proficiency.

Roshid and Chowdhury (2013) investigated the previously unexplored relationship between English language proficiency and employment as well as the success of Bangladeshi graduates in Australia in order to determine how English language skills influence the employment mechanism in the Australian job market for graduates from non-English speaking South East Asian countries. The study used an interpretive method in order to better understand the role of university graduates' English language skills in determining their employment prospects and career prospects in Australia. It was discovered that one's English language skills can influence career prospects in a variety of ways, particularly in terms of contributing to the possibility of more secure and better positions.

Hurmerinta et al. (2015) studied the role of language skills in recognizing and exploiting international opportunities. A mixed-methods research strategy was used in their research to obtain data from Finnish food sector companies. The decision- maker's knowledge of a foreign language was found to be related to international opportunity recognition and exploitation, potentially establishing a knowledge corridor that either favors or prohibits international opportunity recognition. This knowledge corridor was investigated using the matching linguistic knowledge concept. According to the findings, managers' recognition of foreign opportunities is guided by matching linguistic knowledge although the value of the knowledge corridor has reduced with the introduction of Business English.

Method

Context of the Study

PSEEZ consists of three regions: Pars one (South Pars), Pars two (Pars Kangan), and Pars three (North Pars) which on the whole included an approximately 46,000-hectare area. PSEEZ was established with the purpose of supporting the development of the world's largest gas field (South Pars Shared gas field). Since its foundation in 1998, PSEEZ has been responsible for establishing required infrastructures, such as access roads, electricity, drinking water, and any welfare facilities to support gas production. It was also required to transfer these infrastructures to different parts of the country. In a ten-year period from 1999 to 2009, around 35 billion dollars has been invested in various upstream and downstream sectors in South Pars. Ten out of twentyfour phases of South Pars have, so far, come on stream. By 2009, foreign entities had invested some 36 billion dollars in the PSEEZ in a span of 10 years. To materialize the targets of the 20-year outlook, based on strategic decisions made by the Petroleum Ministry, over 200 billion dollars will have been invested by the end of the fifth five-year plan, 40 percent of which has been allocated to refinery phases in PSEEZ. The huge volume of investment leads to the vast fundamental developments in national and regional level. This further demonstrates the need for research in this field which will be the first of its kind in the country. It must be further taken into account that the companies operating in PSEEZ may have been forced to use entirely without or little English language in their activities owing to imposed sanctions on Iran. This might have adverse effects on the research outcome.

 Table 1

 Demographic Information of the Participants in the Study

Variable	Groups	Frequency	Percent	
<u> </u>	Male	96	80.0	
Gender	Female	22	18.3	
	24 -29	15	12.5	
	30-36	38	31.7	
~	37-43	47	39.2	
Age	Over 44	18	15.0	
	B.A.	37	30.8	
duc	M.A.	64	53.3	
Education	Ph.D.	14	11.7	
	College	31	25.8	
English learning method	Self-study	32	26.7	
lish leari method	Language Institute	48	40.0	
e arn	In-service courses	4	3.3	
ing	No	3	2.5	
	No experience	44	36.7	
Ex ma	Once	41	34.2	
kper	Twice	8	6.7	
Experience of narketing trip	Three times	9	7.5	
Experience of marketing trips	More than 3	18	15.0	

Design of the Study

For the purpose of the current study, a survey design was adopted.

Participants

The present research used the companies in the Pars Special Energy Economic Zone (PSEEZ) as its sample to examine the role of English language competency in international opportunity recognition and exploitation. The participants consisted of 120 executive managers from Pars Special Energy Economic Zone (PSEEZ) who were selected utilizing the simple random sampling procedure. Descriptive statistics were used to examine the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

The results of Table1 show that out of 120 respondents, the majority (96 people, 0.80%) were men and most of them were in the age groups of 30-36 (38 people, 31.7%). In addition, the English language skill level of these managers was mostly at the intermediate level (65 individuals, 54.2%). The mother tongue of the majority of the participants (107 individuals, 89.2%) was Persian and the rest being (Arabic (1.7%), Kurdish (0.8%), Lori (0.8%) or Turkish (4.2%)). In terms of academic degrees, 37 participants had a bachelor's degree (30.8%), 64 participants had a master's degree (53.3%), and 11 participants had a Ph.D. degree (11%). The participants mostly have learnt English by attending English language classes in private institutes (48 individuals, 40%). Many of the participants (44 individuals, 36.7%) had not made any overseas business trips and 41 participants (34.2%) had made just one international business trip.

In addition, table 2 depicted work experience of all managers and decision makers in PSEEZ.

Table 2Results of Central Indicators and Dispersion Measures for the Work Experience Variable

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Work experience	13.9279	6.33707	1.00	40.00

The results of Table 2 show that the average work experience of the respondents is 13.927 in terms of years. The Min and Max values for this

variable is 1.00 and 40.00, respectively.

Instrumentation

In this study, a questionnaire was developed by the researchers to investigate the role of English in international opportunity recognition and exploitation at PSEEZ. To develop the items, the researchers conducted an extensive survey of the literature (Hurmerinta, et al., 2015). In addition, the researchers interviewed ten PSEEZ managers to gain insights about the place of English language in their job in the region. The interview phase of the study showed the areas of concern among Iranian EFL raters. These areas (i.e. themes) acted as guidelines to further develop the questionnaire in the study. In other words, in writing the questionnaire items, the researchers used the ideas of the experienced managers at PSEEZ in the interview sessions. The first draft of the questionnaire included 35 items which asked the participants to respond on a five-point Likert-like scale ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree.

After the first draft of the questionnaire was developed, to ensure the content validity of the questionnaire, a group of 10 people including 5 English language experts and 5 experienced managers at PSEEZ were asked to reflect on the simplicity, clarity, and readability of the items of instrument. In addition, they were asked to find the correspondence between the items in the questionnaire and the related sub-scale, that is, the experts were asked to indicate what items make a cluster, and are therefore related to one main concept. This resulted in five major concepts: (i) the items related to English language knowledge, (ii) the items related to work-related knowledge, (iii) the items related to English language in the market, (iv) the items related to English language competence outsourcing, and (v) the items related to digitalization. The general consensus among the experts over the underlying constructs of the questionnaire confirmed the content validity of the questionnaire. This indicated that the experts had classified the items in the same clusters. To improve the clarity of the scale, the unclear statements and minor wording errors were changed according to the experts' views. Approximately, eight questions were rewritten. The internal consistency of the sub-scales was measured by Cronbach's Alpha was 0.87. Based on the literature (Cronbach, 1951; Landis & Koch, 1997), the estimate of Alpha being equal to or higher than 0.70 is considered as a satisfactory reliability measure.

The final version of the questionnaire consisted of 29 items in five domains, including English language knowledge, work-related knowledge, English language in the market, English language competence outsourcing and digitalization. It was based on Likert scale and ranged from strongly agree to strongly disagree (1 to 5). The questionnaire was created in Google Docs and administered via links through e-mail, WhatsApp, and Telegram (Appendix I).

Data Collection Procedure

One of the most important parts of the research was the piloting step as it enabled detection of the unforeseeable minute points and problems with the instruments of the main study, and in this way, it prevented a great deal of frustration and possible extra work later on (Dornyei & Taguchi, 2009). Regarding this, the researcher designed a pilot study. The most important purpose was to allocate the time limit and find out the weaknesses of the research instruments to be eradicated in their final versions.

The data collection procedure took place in two steps. In the first step, the questionnaire survey began with questions on demographic backgrounds of the participants to draw a general picture of the industry, followed by the second step involving questions connected with experience in international opportunity recognition and exploitation in order to obtain the required data for analysis.

Data Analysis

Findings of research questionnaire were analyzed using SPSS (version 22) software. The researcher took advantage of the independent-sample t-test to find the probable effect of managers' English language proficiency on facilitating international opportunities. In addition, the normality status of the data was examined.

Results

Investigating the First Research Question

In order to examine how managers and decision-makers perceived the role of English language in recognizing and exploiting international opportunities in the PSEEZ, the descriptive features of the research variables are examined separately in different tables based on the central tendency measures, such as the mean, standard deviation, and skewness.

 Table 3

 Central Indicators and Dispersion Measures Related to Knowledge of the English Language

Itam		Std.		
Item	Mean	Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
English Language Knowledge	23.1456	3.55093	12.00	30.00
Written and oral forms	4.462	0.848		
Significant role of English in the	4.233	0.976		
workplace	4.233	0.970		
Whether Business English is different	4.008	0.835		
from general English	4.000	0.033		
Subject matter and English language				
knowledge measure employees'	4.158	0.756		
knowledge				
Teaching courses are offered in the	2.908	1.209		
English language	2.900	1.209		
Learning English and other languages	3.375	1.969		

As depicted in Table 3, Indices of mean (M) and standard deviation (SD) for managers and decision-makers' English language knowledge skill are 23.14 and 3.55, respectively. The first subskill of English language knowledge is knowledge of written and oral form with M=4.46 and SD=0.84. The values of M and SD defined for significant role of English in workplace are 4.23 and 0.97, respectively. In addition, M and SD for the difference between business English and general English are 4.00 and 0.83, respectively. The values of M and SD for measuring employees' English knowledge are 4.15 and 0.75. Next, the value of M for offering courses in English is 2.90 and its SD was calculated as 1.20. Finally, the index of M regarding learning English and other languages is 3.37 and its attributed SD is 1.96.

 Table 4

 Central Indicators and Dispersion Measures Related to Work-related English Knowledge

Item	Mean	Std. Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis	Minimum	Maximum
Work-related English Knowledge	17.7333	2.30357	.853	1.831	12.00	25.00
Communicating with staff in English cannot improve work- related English knowledge	1.842	1.250				
Higher levels of work-related English knowledge lead to productivity of employees	4.267	0.905				
Work-related English knowledge facilitates recognition and exploitation of business opportunities	4.275	0.788				
There is a relation between employers and employees in terms of work-related knowledge of English The important role of the English	3.025	1.088				
of the English language in the market	4.325	0.945				

Table 4 displays that the Indices of mean (M) and standard deviation

(SD) related to work-related English knowledge are 17.73 and 2.30, respectively. The subskill values obtained for communicating with the staff in English were M= 1.82 and SD= 1.25. In addition, work-related English knowledge was shown to result in higher productivity of the employees with M=4.26 and SD=0.90. Facilitation of the recognition and exploitation of business opportunities as a result of improved work-related English knowledge produced M= 4.27 and SD= 0.78. In addition, M and SD for the relation between employers and employees in terms of work-related knowledge of English are 3.02 and 1.08, respectively. Lastly, the values of M and SD for the role of the English language in the market are 4.32 and 0.94, respectively.

 Table 5

 Central Indicators and Dispersion Measures Related to English Language in the Market

			U	0 0			
Items	Mean	Std. Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis	Minimum	Maximum	
English Language in	24 4 2 2 2	2.60265	0.40	000	24.00	40.00	
the Market	31.1333	3.68265	043	.002	21.00	40.00	
Most managers are							
not familiar with	3.717	1.109					
English							
Lack of proficiency in							
English results in	3.842	1.012					
missed contracts							
English proficiency is							
essential for Iranian	2.817	1.283					
organizations							
Emphasizing English							
proficiency when	2.817	1.316					
recruiting staff							
English language						_	
leads to international	4.592	0.692					
competition							
Market-related	3.958	1.148					
English is necessary	3.930	1.140					
English knowledge							
helps with	4.700	0.574					
negotiation skills							
Strong tendency	4.692	0.577					
toward English	4.072	0.377					

Table 5 shows that the values of mean (M) and standard deviation (SD) for the English language in the market are 31.13 and 3.68, respectively. The first subskill is the managers' lack of familiarity with the English language with M= 3.71 and SD= 1.10. The obtained values of M and SD for the lack of proficiency in English are 3.84 and 1.01. The value of M for emphasis on English proficiency when recruiting staff is 2.81 and its SD was calculated as 1.31. Moreover, the index of M with respect to the role of the English language in international competition is 4.59 and its attributed SD is 0.69. The values of M and SD for the role of English knowledge in negotiations are 4.70 and 0.57, respectively. Finally, the obtained M for strong tendency toward English is 4.69 and its attributed SD is 0.57

Table 6Central Indicators and Dispersion Measures Related to the English Language Competence
Outsourcing

Items	Mean	Std. Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis	Minimum	Maximum
English Language						
Competence	18.5053	3.05901	437	2.711	5.00	25.00
Outsourcing						
The company does						
not outsource						
documents to be	3.325	1.078				
translated into						
Persian						
Need for bilingual						
translators and	3.200	1.206				
interpreters						
Most operations						
are not conducted	3.723	1.004				
in English						
Most managers are						
not proficient in	3.916	1.042				
English						
Managers need						
assistance in	4.342	0.704				
English	7.374	0.701				
communications						

As can be seen in Table 6 above, the values of mean (M) and standard deviation (SD) for English language competence outsourcing are 18.50 and 3.05, respectively. The subskill where the PSEEZ companies do not rely on outsourcing documents to be translated into Persian produced M= 3.32 and SD (1.07). The value of M for requiring bilingual translators equals 3.20 and its attributed SD is 1.20. In addition, the indices of M and SD for operations that are not conducted in English are 3.2 and 1.00, respectively. The next subskill is lack of English proficiency among managers with M=3.91 and SD equaling 1.04. Finally, the value of M for assistance in English communications is 4.32 and its attributed SD equals 0.70.

 Table 7

 Central Indicators and Dispersion Measures Related to Digitalization

Items		Std.				
items	Mean	Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis	Minimum	Maximum
Digitalization	17.6250	2.90772	.355	.442	9.00	25.00
Protocols and						
orders are	3.592	0.957				
digitalized						
Direct relationship						
between staff's						
proficiency in	4.042	0.974				
English and	4.042					
technology						
awareness						
Most courses use	3.583	0.875				
digital instruments	3.303	0.073				
Staff do not use						
digital instruments	3.175	0.993				
to improve their	3.173	0.773				
English knowledge						
Digital facilities are						
not accessible for	3.233	1.158				
the staff in PSEEZ						

As shown in Table 7, the indices of mean (M) and standard deviation (SD) for digitalization are 17.62 and 2.90, respectively. The component of digitalized protocols and orders was calculated with M=3.59 and SD=0.95. The other component is direct relationship between the staff's proficiency in English and technology awareness (M= 4.04 and SD=0.97). The values of M and SD defined for utilizing digitalized instruments are 3.58 and 0.78, respectively. Next, the value of M for lack of attention to the role of digital instruments in improving English knowledge is 3.17 and its SD equals 0.99. Finally, the index of M regarding unavailability of digital facilities for the staff in PSEEZ is 3.23 and the SD is measured as 1.15.

Investigating the Second Research Question

The second research question investigated if there were any relationship between different sub-scales of the questionnaire and demographic information of the participants. Table 8 presents the results of the correlation.

 Table 8

 Results of the Correlation Test on the Components of the Questionnaire

	English language knowledge	Work related English knowledge	English language in the market	English language competence outsourcing	Digitalization	Mangers' proficiency
Gender	158	125	.006	.022	156	118
Age	.028	050	150	063	087	098
English skill	015	065	.209*	036	.060	.061
Language	054	039	.016	034	.086	007
Education	105	.029	001	029	159	084
Learning method	.057	.169	161	.076	085	.000

Abroad trip	.146	089	.082	062	.007	.044
Work experience	020	082	202*	105	234*	200*
English language knowledge	1	.284**	.359**	.161	.211*	.652**
Work related English knowledge	.284**	1	.321**	.273**	.238**	.592**
English language in the market	.359**	.321**	1	.273**	.245**	.712**
English language competence outsourcing	.161	.273**	.273**	1	.473**	.653**
Digitalization	.211*	.238**	.245**	.473**	1	.644**
Managers' proficiency	.652**	.592**	.712**	.653**	.644**	1

^{**.} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The results of the Pearson correlation coefficient test showed that there is a positive and significant relationship between the age variable and English Language in the Market (sig <0.05). There is also a negative and significant relationship between work experience and the variables of English language in the market and digitalization. In addition, the study of the relationship among the components of the research shows that there is a positive and significant relationship among the components (sig <0.05). In other words, by improving one component, other components level up proportionately. In sum, the correlation coefficient is significant at (sig < 0.05) between the managers' proficiency in English with the variables of English language knowledge (0.652), work-related English knowledge (0.592), English language in the market (0.712), English language competence outsourcing (0.653), and digitalization (0.644). As can be seen, all the research components are almost equally important in increasing proficiency in English among the PSEEZ executive managers and decision-makers, with English Language in the Market as the most significant component.

Discussion

PSEEZ is a particular economic zone established in 1998 for the utilization of South Pars oil and gas resources. It encourages commercial activities in the field of oil, gas, and petrochemical industries. Based on the

^{*.} Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

research findings, English language knowledge is essential for managers and decision-makers in their endeavors to tap into the international market opportunities. The findings revealed that the best way for the managers and decision-makers to improve their English or any other international language for that matter, was by attending language classes. The results also indicated that higher knowledge of work-related English can lead to productivity of the staff. Moreover, there may be advantages or opportunities missed or lost altogether in international contracts for want of proficiency in English. The findings showed that English language can also be helpful in case of competing with international organizations through timely recognition and exploitation of business opportunities. In the same vein, holding market-related language courses can help managers in their efforts to recognize and exploit international opportunities as language proficient managers and decisionmakers can negotiate better with their international counterparts. The findings also showed that the majority of the work procedures in PSEEZ were not done in English because generally not all the employees there are proficient enough. It should be interestingly noted, however, that they are advised to have bilingual dictionaries available for occasions where the need may arise for them to consult their dictionaries.

In addition, all the operations in PSEEZ are digitalized for employees and their pre-service and in-service courses took advantage of digital instruments; however, they generally do not utilize these digital instruments in boosting their English language skills for various reasons. It should be also mentioned that the digital language leaning facilities are not available all the time in the company.

This study is novel in that it stems from two currents of literature, i.e., entrepreneurship and English language learning and teaching. As far as the authors know, no similar research has been done in Iran, and it is a fairly new interdisciplinary topic in the world. Findings of the present study agree with Vinnikainen's (2022) work which showed the importance of foreign language proficiency for the success of the professionals' career. Moreover, this study is line with the study of Welch et al. (2001) who maintain that the English

language is an essential element in the internalization of a company. Similarly, Stoian, et al. (2011) found that having English or foreign language skills affect the antecedents of business performance and it is projected in all the multinational operations of companies. Parallel to this study, Sheng and Mullen (2011) stated that English language proficiency can facilitate marketing closeness. In addition, Liesch et al. (2002) pointed that language competency can play a significant role in finding and selecting the markets. Lastly, the present research results are consistent with Hurmerinta et al. (2015) who found that matching linguistic knowledge directs managers in their recognition of international opportunities.

While some researchers have continued to consider language as a barrier to internationalization, a number of studies have also shown that the decision-maker's language skills may increase the firm's likelihood of expanding its business internationally (Fernandez-Ortiz & Lombardo, 2009; Holzmuller & Kasper, 1990). Leonidou (2004) and Suarez-Ortega (2003) found that language differences were no longer a significant barrier to SME internationalization. Besides the globalization and the emergence of Business English as the new lingua franca (Louhiala-Salminen & Kankaanranta, 2012; Rogerson-Revell, 2007), this may be due to the increasing number of intermediaries performances as language and cultural interpreters among international companies all over the world-wide market (Fast, 2021; Jansson & Sandberg, 2008; Welch, et al., 2001).

Conclusion

The present study confirmed that Business English is a specialty in English language studies revolving around business, industry, finance, and international affairs. In today's world of global markets and digital communications, Business English is a concept that is becoming increasingly important as English has come to be known as the business language. In this line, learning work-related vocabulary and business jargons could prove very valuable. Moreover, the findings of the present study imply that businesses especially those that seek international recognition should invest on English

language proficiency of their decision-making managers. Development of English language centers which provide consistent language training can considerably affect the visibility of companies in the international markets. The study also implies that introduction of work-related English is important when designing the English program in these contexts.

The present research was the first attempt in Iran to examine the role of the English language in recognizing and exploiting international opportunities, the two pillars of entrepreneurship. However, more examinations drawing on concepts from a variety of disciplines and employing diverse methodologies are required in the future because this field is very fragmented, with serious knowledge gaps in theory, data, methodology, and content in Iran and all over the world. This study fulfilled this gap to some extent but much remains to be done. To motivate future research in this direction, this study suggests advancing the investigation of the role of language in recognizing and exploiting international opportunities on a larger scale and in other industry and business fields. We particularly encourage the integration of insights from different English and business disciplines as an opportunity to gain a deeper insight into language proficiency in international businesses.

Furthermore, future research can investigate the reasons why employees may not be inclined to learn or improve their English or do not feel motivated to use the learning instruments that have been provided for them. Future studies can also focus on other aspects, such as content and need analysis, attitudes of the top management toward learning English as a strategic investment, e-learning and digital considerations, particularly following the Covid-19 pandemic, attention to hiring of qualified teachers and instructors for the programs and workshops, and so on.

The present research also suffers from a number of limitations. To begin with, the research instrument used for data collection was a survey. A common downside of such surveys, whether in-person or online, is that respondents' answers may not always reflect what they in fact know to be true, but may rather reflect what might be commonly expected in a given situation. In

addition, since surveys require the individuals to select their responses based on their judgements, thoughts or beliefs, the self-reported data that is obtained may be disposed to under- or overestimation.

Another limitation concerns the interviews which were conducted as the first step to develop the research questionnaire. With the managers at PSEEZ, inperson interviews were out of questions mainly to observe the safety protocols for the COVID-19 pandemic and also because of their tight and unpredictable work schedules. Thus, they only agreed with the answers to typed-out interviews questions which were sent to them via email or WhatsApp. This precluded the opportunity for interactive interviews which may have led to gleaning more details.

References

- Angouri, J. (2014). Multilingualism in the workplace: Language practices in multilingual contexts. *Multilingua*, *33*(1-2), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1515/multi-2014-0001
- Araújo, L., & Costa, P. (2015). Home book reading and reading achievement in EU countries: the Progress in International Reading Literacy Study 2011 (PIRLS). *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 21(5-6), 422-438. https://doi.org/10.1080/13803611.2015.1111803.
- Arkoudis, Sophie & Hawthorne, Professor Lesleyanne & Baik, Chi & Hawthorne, G. & O'Loughlin, Kieran & Bexley, E. & Leach, D. (2009). The Impact of English Language Proficiency and Workplace Readiness on the Employment Outcomes of Tertiary International Students. https://core.ac.uk/reader/303922460.
- Barner-Rasmussen, W., & Björkman, I. (2005). Surmounting interunit barriers. Factors associated with interunit communication intensity in the multinational corporation. *International Studies of Management & Organization*, *35*(1), 28–46. https://doi.org/10.1080/00208825.2005.11043725.
- Berthoud, Anne-Claude & Grin, François & Luedi, Georges. (2015). The DYLAN Project: Language dynamics and management of diversity. *European Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 3(1),145-153. https://doi.org/10.1515/eujal-2015-0009.
- Brannen, M. Y., & Doz, Y. L. (2012). Corporate languages and strategic agility: trapped in your jargon or lost in translation? *California Management Review*, *54*(3), 77-97. https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2012.54.3.77.
- Brannen, M. Y., Piekkari, R., & Tietze, S. (2014). The multifaceted role of language in international business: Unpacking the forms, functions and features of a critical challenge to MNC theory and performance. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 45(5), 495–507. https://doi.org/10.1057/jibs.2014.24.
- Casale, D., & Posel, D. (2011). English language proficiency and earnings in a developing country: The case of South Africa. *The Journal of Socio-Economics*, 40(4), 385-393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socec.2011.04.009.
- Chen, M. K. (2013). The effect of language on economic behavior: Evidence from savings rates, health behaviors, and retirement assets. *American Economic Review*, 103(2), 690-731. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.103.2.690.
- Chiswick, B. R., & Miller, P. W. (2007). *The economics of language: International analyses*. Routledge.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*, *16*, 297-334. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02310555.
- Debrah, T. A., & Smith, I. G. (2002). Globalization, employment and the workplace: Diverse

- impacts. Routledge.
- Dow, D., & Karunaratna, A. (2006). Developing a multidimensional instrument to measure psychic distance stimuli. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 37(5), 578–602. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8400221.
- Dustmann, C. (1999). Temporary Migration, Human Capital, and Language Fluency of Migrants. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 101(2), 297–314. http://www.jstor.org/stable/3440698.
- Dustmann, C., & Fabbri, F. (2003). Language proficiency and labour market performance of immigrants. Economic Journal, 113(489), 695-717. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0297.t01-1-00151.
- Eriksson, K., Johanson, J., Majkgard, A., & Sharma, D. D. (1997). Experiential knowledge and cost in the internationalization process. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 28(2), 337–360. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8490104.
- Erling, E., Seargeant, P., Solly, M., Chowdhury, Q. H., & Rahman, S. (2012). *Attitudes to English as a language for international development in rural Bangladesh*. British Council, London.
- Fast, M. E. (2021). A new model of opportunity recognition: linking individual agency, entrepreneurial action, and the innovation process agency, entrepreneurial action, and the innovation process. [Doctor of Business Administration (DBA)] https://digitalcommons.georgefox.edu/dbadmin/5.
- Fernandez-Ortiz, R., & Lombardo, G. F. (2009). Influence of the capacities of top management on the internationalization of SMEs. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, *21*(2), 131–154. https://doi.org/10.1080/08985620802176104.
- Hicks, D. L., Santacreu-Vasut, E., & Shoham, A. (2015). Does mother tongue make for women's work? Linguistics, household labor, and gender identity. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 110, 19-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2014.11.010.
- Ho, C., & Alcorso, C. (2004). Migrants and employment: Challenging the success story. *Journal of Sociology*, 40(3), 237-259. https://doi.org/10.1177/1440783304045721.
- Holzmuller, H. H., & Kasper, H. (1990). The decision-maker and export activity: A cross-national comparison of the foreign orientation of Austrian managers.

 Management International Review, 30(3), 217–230.

 http://www.jstor.org/stable/40228024.
- Hurmerinta L., Nummela N., Paavilainen-Mäntymäki E. (2015). Opening and closing doors: the role of language in international opportunity recognition and

exploitation. International Business Review, 24(6), 1082-1094.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2015.04.010.

- Hutchinson, K. W. (2005). Linguistic distance as a determinant of bilateral trade. Southern Economic Journal, 72(1), 1-15.
 - https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2325-8012.2005.tb00685.x.
- Janssens, M., & Steyaert, C. (2014). Re-considering language within a cosmopolitan understanding: Toward a multilingual franca approach in international business studies. Journal of International Business Studies, 45(5), 623-639. https://doi.org/10.1057/jibs.2014.9.
- Jansson, H. & Sandberg, S. (2008). Internationalization of Small and Medium Sized Enterprises in the Baltic Sea Region. Journal of International Management, 14(1), 65-77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2007.02.005.
- Iohanson, I., & Va E. (1977). The internationalization process of the firm A model of knowledge development and increasing foreign market commitments. Journal of International Business Studies, 8(1), 23-32. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8490676.
- Kankaanranta, A., & Planken, B. (2010). BELF competence as business knowledge of internationally operating business professionals. The Journal of Business Communication 47(4), 380-407. https://doi.org/10.1177/0021943610377301.
- Khadria, B. (2001). Shifting paradigms of globalization: the twenty-first century transition towards generics in skilled migration from India. International Migration, 39(5), 45-71. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2435.00171.
- Kossoudji, S. A. (1988). English language ability and the labor market opportunities of Hispanic and East Asian immigrant men. Journal of Labor Economics, 6(2), 205-228. https://doi.org/10.1086/298181.
- Kuznetsov, A., & Kuznetsova, O. (2014). Building professional discourse in emerging markets: Language, context and the challenge of sensemaking. Journal of International Business Studies, 45(5), 583-599.
 - https://doi.org/10.1057/jibs.2013.69.
- Landis, J. R., & Koch, G. G. (1977). The Measurement of Observer Agreement for Categorical Data. Biometrics, 33(1), 159-174. https://doi.org/10.2307/2529310.
- Latukha, M. (2016). Talent Management in emerging market firms: global strategy and local challenges, Palgrave Macmillan, London. https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-50606-1.
- Leonidou, L. (2004). An analysis of the barriers hindering small business export

- development. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 42(3), 279–302. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-627x.2004.00112.x.
- Leslie, D., & Lindley, J. (2001). The impact of language ability on employment and earnings of Britain's ethnic communities. *Economica*, 68(272), 587-606. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0335.00263.
- Liesch, P., Welch, L. S., Welch, D., McGaughey, S., Petersen, B., & Lamb, P. (2002). Evolving strands on research on firm internationalization. An Australian-Nordic perspective. *International Studies of Management & Organization*, 32(1), 16–35. https://doi.org/10.1080/00208825.2002.11043652.
- Lindley, J. (2002). The English language fluency and earnings of ethnic minorities in Britain. *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, 49(4), 467-487. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9485.00242.
- Louhiala-Salminen, L., & Kankaanranta, A. (2012). Language as an issue in international internal communication: English or local language? If English, what English? *Public Relations Review*, 38(2), 262–269.
- Marschan-Piekkari, R., Welch, D., & Welch, L. (1999). In the shadow: The impact of language on structure, power and communication in the multinational.

https://doi.org/10.1016/s0969-5931(99)00015-3.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2011.12.021.

International Business Review, 8(4), 421–440.

- Melitz, J., & Toubal, F. (2014). Native language, spoken language, translation and trade. *Journal of International Economics*, 93(2), 351-363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinteco.2014.04.004.
- O'Grady, S., & Lane, H. W. (1996). The psychic distance paradox. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 27(2), 309–333. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8490137.
- Orbeta, A. C. (2002). Globalization and employment: The impact of trade on employment level and structure in the Philippines (No. 2002-04). PIDS Discussion Paper Series.
- Piekkari, R., Vaara, E., Tienari, J., & Säntti, R. (2005). Integration or disintegration? Human resource implications of a common corporate language decision in a cross-border merger. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 16(3), 330-344.

https://doi.org/10.1080/0958519042000339534.

Rivera-Batiz, F. L. (1990). English language proficiency and the economic progress of immigrants. *Economics Letters*, *34*(3), 295-300.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1765(90)90134-m.

Rogerson-Revell, P. (2007). Using English for international business: A European case study. *English for Specific Purposes*, *26*(1), 103–120.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2005.12.004.

Roshid, M. M., & Chowdhury, R. (2013). English language proficiency and employment: a case study of Bangladeshi graduates in Australian employment market. *Mevlana International Journal of Education*, 3(1), 68-81.

https://doi.org/10.13054/mije.13.06.3.1.

Sassen, S. (2016). Global networks, linked cities. Routledge.

Sauter, N. (2012). Talking trade: language barriers in intra-Canadian commerce. *Empirical Economics*, 42(1), 301-323.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00181-010-0408-1.

- Schellekens, P. (2001). English language as a barrier to employment, education and training. DfES.
- Sheng, S. Y., & Mullen, M. R. (2011). A hybrid model for export market opportunity analysis. *International Marketing Review*, 28(2), 163–182. https://doi.org/10.1108/02651331111122650.
- Shields, M. A., & Price, S. W. (2002). The English language fluency and occupational success of ethnic minority immigrant men living in English metropolitan areas. *Journal of population Economics*, *15*(1), 137-160. https://doi.org/10.1007/pl00003836.
- Stevens, B. (2005). What communication skills do employers want? Silicon Valley recruiters respond. *Journal of Employment Counseling*, 42(1), 2-9. https://doi.org/10.1002/i.2161-1920.2005.tb00893.x.
- Steyaert, C., & Ostendorp, A., & Gaibrois, C. (2011). Multilingual organizations as linguascapes: Negotiating the position of English through discursive practices. *Journal of World Business*, 46, 270-278.

 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2010.07.003.
- Stoian, M.-C., Rialp, A., & Rialp, J. (2011). Export performance under the microscope: A glance through Spanish lenses. *International Business Review*, 20(2), 117–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2010.07.002.
- Suarez-Ortega, S. (2003). Export barriers. Insights from small and medium-sized firms. *International Small Business Journal*, 21(4), 403–419. https://doi.org/10.1177/02662426030214002.
- Syed, J., & Murray, P. (2009). Combating the English language deficit: The labour market experiences of migrant women in Australia. *Human Resource Management*

- Journal, 19(4), 413-432. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-8583.2009.00106.x.
- Tainer, E. (1988). English language proficiency and the determination of earnings among foreign-born men. *Journal of Human Resources*, *23*, 108-122. https://doi.org/10.2307/145847.
- Tietze, S., & Dick, P. (2013). The victorious English language: Hegemonic practices in the management academy. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 22(1), 122-134. https://doi.org/10.1177/1056492612444316.
- Vaara, E., Tienari, J., Piekkari, R., & Santti, R. (2005). Language and the circuits of power in a merging multinational corporation. *Journal of Management Studies*, 42(3), 595–623. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2005.00510.x.
- Vinnikainen, K. (2022). The importance of language proficiency for career success in the export industry. [MA thesis, Aalto University School of Business]. https://aaltodoc.aalto.fi/bitstream/handle/123456789/119067/master-Vinnikainen Kati 2022.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y.
- Welch, D. E., Welch, L. S., & Marschan-Piekkari, R. (2001). The persistent impact of language on global operations. Prometheus. *Critical Studies in Innovation*, 19(3), 193–209. https://doi.org/10.1080/08109020110072180.

Appendix I

Dear participant,

The purpose of this survey is to investigate the *role of English language competency in international opportunity recognition and exploitation in Pars Special Economic Energy Zone (PSEEZ)*. I would greatly appreciate it if you could take some of your precious time out of your busy schedule to complete the following questionnaire. I should stress that all of your information and answers will be kept strictly confidential. Your answers would certainly enhance the richness and content validity of the study and obviate its problems. I also welcome any comments that you may have about this research, hence, I have included a comment section at the end of this questionnaire. If you have any questions, please contact the researcher at the following address:

h.ekbatani@alumni.ut.ac.ir

We will be glad to share the results of the study if you write to us at the above address. Thank you again for your assistance in this project. Sincerely.

Gender:	Male	2 □		Femal	е□					
Age:	24-29		30-36		37-43			44 an	nd mor	e 🗆
Occupation	: P	lease sp	ecify		_					
Job experier	nce (in	years) _								
Mother lang	uage:	Please	specify							
Academic d	egree:	$BSc \; \square$		$MSc\; \square$		Ph	D□			
Academic n	najor: P	lease sp	ecify _							
How did you	ı learn	English?	,							
Institutes 🗆	Univ	ersity 🗆	In	the com	pany 🗆		Self-	study		None □
How do you	evalua	te your l	evel of	proficie	ncy in E	ngli	sh?			
Beginner 🗆		Inte	ermedia	ate 🗆		Adv	ance	d□		
How many i	narketi	ng trips	have y	ou ever	had? 1		2 □	3 □	More	than 3 🗆

Please choose an answer according to the following criteria:

1- strongly disagree 2- disagree 3- no idea 4- agree 5- strongly agree

No.	Statements	Strongly	Disagree	No	Agree	Strongly
140.	Statements	disagree	Disagree	idea	rigice	agree
A.	English Language					
72.	Knowledge					
	For marketing purposes,					
	the staff mostly need the					
1	English language					
	knowledge in both					
	written and oral modes.					
	English language					
	knowledge has a					
2	significant role in your					
	work context.					
	The purpose and nature					
3	of English language					
	knowledge for marketing					
	is significantly different					
	from English for general					
	purposes.					
	Both subject matter					
	knowledge and English					
	language knowledge are					
,	important criteria for					
4	measuring					
	employees'/employers'					
	English language					
	knowledge.					
	Most in-service courses					
5	for the staff are based on					
3	English language					
	instruction.					
	It is better for the staff to					
6	learn English along with					
	other languages.					

No.	Statoreauto	Strongly	Diggeres	No	Agrees	Strongly
NO.	Statements	disagree	Disagree	idea	Agree	agree
В.	Work-related					
ъ.	Knowledge					
	Communicating with the					
7	English staff does not					
,	improve your work-					
	related knowledge.					
	Higher levels of work-					
	related knowledge					
8	regarding PSEEZ affect					
	the productivity of the					
	employees.					
	Work-related knowledge					
9	in PSEEZ might lead to					
	various business					
	opportunities.					
	There is a balance					
	between the employees'					
10	and employers' work-					
	related knowledge in					
	PSEEZ.					
	The importance of the					
4.4	work-related knowledge					
11	in the market is often					
	taken for granted.					
-	English Language in the					
C.	Market					
	Most managers and					
12	employees are not					
12	familiar with the English					
	language.					
	Organizations may lose					
12	the contracts or its					
13	benefit(s) due to lack of					
	English proficiency.					
L		l	L	l	l	L

Most company activities are not operated in English.					agree
•					
English.					
Majority of the staff do					
not have any English					
language skill.					
For business and market,					
the staff need assistance					
for communicating in					
English.					
Digitalization					
Most company protocols					
and orders are digitalized.					
There is a direct					
relationship between the					
staff English language					
competence and their					
technology awareness.					
Most pre-service and in-					
services English language					
courses utilize digital					
instruments.					
The staff do not use digital					
facilities to improve their					
English language skills.					
Digital facilities are not					
accessible for both the					
employers and employees					
in the PSEEZ.					
I l t f l l s c t l s c i . f l l s c	not have any English anguage skill. For business and market, the staff need assistance for communicating in English. Digitalization Most company protocols and orders are digitalized. There is a direct relationship between the staff English language competence and their rechnology awareness. Most pre-service and inservices English language courses utilize digital instruments. The staff do not use digital facilities to improve their English language skills. Digital facilities are not accessible for both the employees	not have any English anguage skill. For business and market, the staff need assistance for communicating in English. Digitalization Most company protocols and orders are digitalized. There is a direct relationship between the staff English language competence and their rechnology awareness. Most pre-service and inservices English language courses utilize digital instruments. The staff do not use digital facilities to improve their English language skills. Digital facilities are not accessible for both the employees	not have any English anguage skill. For business and market, the staff need assistance for communicating in English. Digitalization Most company protocols and orders are digitalized. There is a direct relationship between the staff English language competence and their rechnology awareness. Most pre-service and in- services English language courses utilize digital nstruments. The staff do not use digital facilities to improve their English language skills. Digital facilities are not accessible for both the employers and employees	not have any English anguage skill. For business and market, the staff need assistance for communicating in English. Digitalization Most company protocols and orders are digitalized. There is a direct relationship between the staff English language competence and their echnology awareness. Most pre-service and inservices English language courses utilize digital instruments. The staff do not use digital facilities to improve their English language skills. Digital facilities are not accessible for both the employees	not have any English anguage skill. For business and market, the staff need assistance for communicating in English. Digitalization Most company protocols and orders are digitalized. There is a direct relationship between the staff English language competence and their sechnology awareness. Most pre-service and inservices English language courses utilize digital instruments. The staff do not use digital facilities to improve their English language skills. Digital facilities are not accessible for both the employers and employees

Comments	